

PRESS RELEASE

24. November 2022 || Page 1 | 3

Single-use cardboard boxes or reusable plastic crates – which type of packaging is more sustainable?

The packaging world is experiencing a shift away from plastic toward paper, cardboard or paperboard. But how sustainable is this new trend? In its latest report “Reusable plastic crates vs. single-use cardboard boxes — two packaging systems in competition”¹, the Fraunhofer Institute for Environmental, Safety and Energy Technology UMSICHT and the Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics IBP were commissioned by the Stiftung Initiative Mehrweg (SIM) to explain the background to and correlations between the ecological effects of single-use cardboard boxes and reusable plastic crates. A general discourse on the topic of single-use vs. reusable solutions intends to help political actors lay the groundwork for a viable circular economy of the future.

Plastic or cardboard? Single-use or reusable? The question on which type of packaging is the more sustainable solution is not an easy one to answer. Among other things, comprehensive life cycle assessments (LCAs) are required that take into account the manufacturing process, transport, use and recycling at the end of the solution’s service life. The Fraunhofer institutes involved have already conducted several studies and life cycle assessments on plastic packaging, reusable systems and recycling solutions while also investigating the emissions of plastics into the environment². The authors wish to provide political and industrial decision-makers with balanced recommendations for action to enable sustainable production and consumption, minimize the use of resources and significantly reduce plastic waste and emissions.

Comparative life cycle assessments require transparent parameters

The researchers’ present report concludes that, in most cases, reusable plastic packaging is superior in ecological terms to single-use cardboard packaging. The scientific teams refer to four studies in total, two commissioned by the European Federation of Corrugated Board Manufacturers (FEFCO) and conducted by VTT and Ramboll³, and two studies by Fraunhofer UMSICHT and Fraunhofer IBP commissioned by Stiftung Initiative Mehrweg (SIM)², and explain the general challenges and limits of comparative studies on environmental impacts. Often, the reasons for diverging results produced by comparative LCAs are due to different study parameters, the data used or even modeling approaches. “We therefore recommend that comparative life cycle assessments must be conducted on the basis of transparent, realistic parameters to be agreed upon

Contact for press inquiries

Stephanie Wehr-Zenz | Fraunhofer Institute for Environmental, Safety and Energy Technology UMSICHT | Phone +49 208 8598-1505 | Osterfelder Strasse 3 | 46045 Oberhausen, Germany | www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de | presse@umsicht.fraunhofer.de

Rita Schwab | Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics | Phone +49 711 970 3301 | Nobelstrasse 12 | 70569 Stuttgart, Germany | www.ibp.fraunhofer.de | presse@ibp.fraunhofer.de

in advance in a multi-stakeholder process. Furthermore, civil society — and not just competing associations — should also be involved in the process. It is up to the political players to enable this dialogue,” concludes lead author of the study Jürgen Bertling of Fraunhofer UMSICHT.

Promoting reusable systems, strengthening the waste hierarchy

The basic recommendation of the scientist teams for a decision between plastics or cardboard as a packaging material is: The waste hierarchy anchored in Europe (1. Avoidance, 2. Reuse – including repair or cleaning in particular – 3. Material Recycling and 4. Thermal Recycling and in the end 5. Disposal) must be maintained, strengthened and also implemented. Deviations from this hierarchy should only be possible if another solution is proven to be more advantageous when all relevant sustainability criteria are considered. This also comprises littering, product protection or technological sovereignty. This is because single-use packaging, for example, contributes significantly more to littering than reusable packaging. Reusable plastic packaging can protect products in a better way due to a higher material input which can be apportioned to several uses. In addition, they have a higher wet strength. Furthermore, reusable packaging systems reduce the import dependency as they promote regional logistics and transport solutions.

While a high recycling rate is, in principle, good for a circular economy, more of a focus needs to be placed on the reuse rate of secondary material for the same — or at least equivalent — purpose. This is the only way to avoid downcycling – recycled material is only suitable for products that do not have to apply to high quality requirements like e.g. for a palisade - and achieve a true circular economy. Moreover, the study's authors recommend a transparent monitoring of the central parameters of reusable systems which include circulation figures, breakage and leakage rates, and end-of-life recycling rates in order to enable a fair comparison of the results.

Literature

¹ Reusable plastic crates vs. single-use cardboard boxes – two packaging systems in competition (Bertling et al. 2022)

² Carbon Footprint von Verpackungssystemen für Obst- und Gemüsetransporte in Europa (Krieg et al. 2018);
Kunststoffbasierte Mehrwegsysteme in der Circular Economy (Bertling et al. 2022)

³Recycling vs. Reuse for Packaging – Bringing the science to the packaging debate (FEFCO 2022);

A critical view on packaging recycling and reuse in the European Circular Economy«
(Pajula und Sundqvist-Andberg 2022);
Comparative Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) – Packaging Solutions for the Food Segment«
(Castellani et al. 2022)

24. November 2022 || Page 3 | 3

Find out more:

Sustainability and participation department

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/kompetenzen/nachhaltigkeit-partizipation.html>

Current Fraunhofer UMSICHT publications

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/publikationen/studien-und-forschungsberichte.html>

Resources management in the circular economy

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/forschung-fuer-den-markt/ressourcenmanagement-circular-economy.html>

Life cycle assessment (LCA)

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/forschung-fuer-den-markt/oekobilanzierung-life-cycle-assessment.html>

Plastics in the environment

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/forschung-fuer-den-markt/kunststoffe-in-der-umwelt.html>

Microplastics

<https://www.umsicht.fraunhofer.de/de/forschung-fuer-den-markt/mikroplastik.html>

Die **Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft** mit Sitz in Deutschland ist die weltweit führende Organisation für anwendungsorientierte Forschung. Mit ihrer Fokussierung auf zukunftsrelevante Schlüsseltechnologien sowie auf die Verwertung der Ergebnisse in Wirtschaft und Industrie spielt sie eine zentrale Rolle im Innovationsprozess. Als Wegweiser und Impulsgeber für innovative Entwicklungen und wissenschaftliche Exzellenz wirkt sie mit an der Gestaltung unserer Gesellschaft und unserer Zukunft. Die 1949 gegründete Organisation betreibt in Deutschland derzeit 75 Institute und Forschungseinrichtungen. Rund 29 000 Mitarbeiterinnen und Mitarbeiter, überwiegend mit natur- oder ingenieurwissenschaftlicher Ausbildung, erarbeiten das jährliche Forschungsvolumen von 2,8 Milliarden Euro. Davon fallen 2,4 Milliarden Euro auf den Leistungsbereich Vertragsforschung.
